Current Topics

St. Patrick and Rome

A few weeks ago we made some casual comments in this column on the fantastic theory which finds tavor in certain Anglican circles to the enect that St. Patrick had no connection with and did not acknowledge the authority of Rome, and that his Christianity was of an entirely non-Papal type; and our remarks found their way into a controversy which has been going on in the columns of the Adelaide Register, which controversy was inaugurated by an Anglican clergyman, the Rev. W. H. Winter, B.D. His references to the Tablet—a paper which he has presumably never seen and his method of controversy generally are not remarkable either for manners or modesty. Sweeping assertion, and a lordly dogmatism and cocksureness which are entirely alich to the spirit and attainments of the true scholar, are his constant characteristics. Here is a specimen of his idea of controversial courtesy: 'The Tablet shows complete ignorance when it says that the non-Roman theory has been in existence for only 50 years.' The Tablet said no such thing. What it said was that the theory had been 'flitting about on the field of polemics' during the last fifty years-which is an entirely different thing. There exists in London an organisation known as the Flat Earth Society, which disseminates literature for the purpose of proving that the earth is flat; and it establishes its thesis by the simple process of ruling out all the evidence on the other side. In his method of dealing with awkward statements Mr. Winter is a follower of the Flat Earth school of controversy. In his first letter he asserted very confidently that St. Bede 'does not mention' St. Patrick. When confronted with our specific and fully attested quotation from Bede's Martgrotogy, he calmly declares that the Martyrology is spurious and triumphantly proves that the earth is flat! The Adelaide cleric is in error. The specific quotation which we gave from the Martyrologium de Natalities Sanctorum is recognised as genuine not only by the distinguished Oxford scholar from whom we quoted, but also by that erudite investigator and brilliant Celtic philologist, Professor Zimmer, in his article 'Keltische Kirche,' in the Realencyplopädia für Protestantische Theologische Electronic et Electron Theologie u. Kirche, 1901.

The Adelaide writer evidently found the two direct quotations which we gave from St. Patrick's sayings a difficult hurdle to negotiate. To the first As you would be children of Christ, so be you children of Rome,' he makes no direct reply. Nor could he, for the genuineness of this third Dietum, as it is called, of St. Patrick is, says the learned Hartmann Grisar, now recognised. The actual text runs: 'Accelesia Scotorum immo Romanorum, ut Christiani ita et Romani sitis' ('The Church of the Scots—i.e., Irish—is a Church of the Romans—Be Christians but insended. the Romans. Be Christians, but in such wise as to be Romans also.') In regard to the second—the famous canon ordering disputes to be referred to the Apostolic See—the Adelaide writer, still following the Flat Earth method, observes: The Book of Armagh was not written by St. Patrick at all, therefore a citation from it is not to the purpose. Here again the Anglican apologist blunders. The Book of Armagh, besides containing the earliest and best authenticated Life of St. Patrick in Latin by Muirchu Maccu Machteni, contains also the Dieta Sancti Patritii, or brief sayings of the Saint, which are recognised as certainly authentic. The canon is given in two forms—the longer form (from the Book of Armagh) quoted by us, and a shorter form found in the Collectio Hibernensis dates from the year 700 (Wasserschleben, 2nd ed., 1885). We may mention in passing that the theory that the word 'archbishop' stamps the canon as a forgery is a pleasant invention of Mr. Winter's, which finds no countenance in scholarly works on the subject such as that of Professor Bury (1905), who deals exhaustively with the whole question of the organisation of the Irish episcopate. Even Mr. Winter's great stand-by, the ultra-Protestant Dr. Todd, admits that the word 'archbishop' occurs in early Irish Church history, though not ,of course, in the precise and definite sense which it now has. The actual text of the famous decree as given in the Hibernensis is as follows: 'Si quae (difficiles in three MSS.) quaestiones in hac insula oriantur, ad Sedem Apostolicam referentur.' ('If any difficulties arise in this island let them be referred to the Apostolic See'). The Protestant Wasserschleben contends that the longer canon is the original. Others maintain that it is but a paraphrastic explanation of the shorter one, yet conveying its true meaning. But as Salmon (Ancient Irish Church) points out: 'As far as the Papal supremacy is concerned, the point is of no importance. Both canons involve that doctrine. Both direct that disputes be carried to Rome. One provides for a preliminary reference to Armagh; the other does not. And this is the only difference, in substance, between them.'

The argument from silence is always more or less dangerous, but the use made of it by the Adelaide writer is a beautiful sample of logic gone stark mad. The argument from the silence of Bede fails, as we have seen, because there happens to be no silence. Equally disastrous is the misguided attempt to draw an anti-Roman inference from the silence of St. Patrick's Confession as to his Roman mission. 'Can we imagine,' writes Mr. Winter, 'Cardinal Moran or Archbishop O'Reily writing an account of their faith at great length, and answering objections against their mission, making no mention whatsoever of the Church of Rome or of the Pope. The thing is incredible.' The man who wrote that either shows complete ignorance'-to use his own expression-as to the subject matter of the Confession, or he shows that there are few lengths to which he is not prepared to go in the way of misrepresentation. The full text of the Confession lies before us. It is not, and was not intended to be, a set exposition of all the articles of Patrick's be, a set exposition of all the articles of Faurick's faith. Nor was it written to 'answer objections against his mission.' It is an almost entirely personal document, written as a reply to things that were said to belittle him personally. One charge that was brought against him was his lack of literary education. Another referred to the matter of a youthful peccadillo committed when Patrick was about the age of fifteen, and which was thrown up against him 45 years later when he was about to be promoted to the episcopate. The two main objects of the Confession are to vindicate his personal character against certain specific charges, and to exhibit the wonderful ways of God in dealing with his own life. He did not refer to the Roman mission for the simple reason that the Roman mission had nothing to do with the subject he was writing about.

Mr. Winter commits himself to theories as to the 'anti-Roman' attitude of St. Aidan, St. Columba, etc., that have long ago been discarded by scholars, and which could be easily refuted, did space permit, from the testimony of Anglican historians themselves. this discussion began with the question of St. Patrick's relation to Rome, and to that it shall for the present be confined. On this general question the following summary of the position—necessarily condensed—will furnish a sufficient answer to the utter travesty of the facts presented by the Adelaide partisan. (1) The learned Protestant writer, Dr. Whitley Stokes, in his edition of Tripartite Life of St. Patrick (I., exxxv) says of St. Patrick: 'He had a reverent affection for the Church of Rome, and there is no ground for distributed by the Church of St. believing his desire to obtain Roman authority for his mission, or for questioning the authenticity of $\overline{\tau}$ he decrees that difficult questions arising in Ireland should ultimately be referred to the Apostolic See.' (2) Another Protestant authority, Wasserschleben, in his edition of the *Hibernensis* (or eighth century collection of Irish canons), distinctly states that the ancient Irish Church was in micro with Rome and a least 1 Church was in unison with Rome, and acknowledged the Pope as its head (p. xxxv). (3) St. Patrick's canon regarding appeals to Rome was not alone known in the early Irish Church. It was acted upon. A conspicuous

AT HOWEY WALKER'S,