## OUR ROMAN LETTER

## By "Scottus."

It is no exaggeration to say that for many years no private member of parliament has attracted so much attention in this country as did and does Mr. McKean, by his able and persistent efforts to unmask the hypocrisy with which statesmen had made preparations for excluding the Pope from the coming Peace Conference by means of secret treaties.

On December 6, as will be remembered, Mr. Mc-Kean first drew the attention of the House of Commons to the existence of a secret clause in a treaty between England, France, Russia, and Italy, the object of which it was alleged was the elimination of the Pope from having anything to do with any of the questions connected with the war. In his answer, the responsible Minister (Lord R. Cecil) at first denied there was any such clause, but on being closely presed by Mr. Mc-Kean, made a statement tantamount to an admission that some such clause did exist. Shortly before that, the responsible Minister in the Italian Parliament, in answer to a similar question put by one of the Deputies, flath denied the existence of any such clause; the denial was accepted without demur, was published broadcast, was translated into every tengue, and appeared in nearly every newspaper in the world.

peared in nearly every newspaper in the world. All this was interesting so fail. But the interest did not end there. Mr. McKean's question and the answers thereto did not appear at all in the English newspapers, not even in the Londen Tablet, and, if wired out here by the press agencies, was carefully up pressed. Fortunately, however, it appeared in full in the Freeman's Journal, a copy of which reached the hands of those concerned. The operiod was at an ertranslated into Italian, and officially communicated to the two Catholic organs in this city. But the center refused to let it pass, and a black space in both starrads was the only indication of what had been done. About a week later Mr. McKean returned to the charge, presing home his question, and driving the responsible Minister into a still tighter conter. The answers on this occasion, as will be remembered, went still further in the direction of admissions, enabled everyone to see that a blank denial was no longer feasiole, and prastically admitted that the danse comparisol of did really exist, though not quite in the sence alleged.

This time the authorities. English or Italian as the case may be, took good care that no account of the question and answer should reach this country, and accordingly all English and Irish new-papers and all letters of the next day (December 11) were held up somewhere along the way, and only reached their destination one month later. The precaution was a waste of time. The truth can be hidden for a day or two, but it will out somehow i and so it happened in the present case—an account of the question and answer again reached the hands of those concerned; and once again the fat was in the fre. Needless to say, this did not appear in the Italian newspapers; but one may assume it was brought under the notice of a certain Ambasador in temporary mission, whose attention was doubtless directed to the query pat by Mr. McKean as to whether the existence of such an embassy was compatible with a clause of the kind.

For the third time Mr. McKean returned to the

charge a couple of weeks later, eliciting still more precious admissions; but just as before these were not allowed to see the light here.

The next act in the comedy was reserved till the reassembling of the English and Italian Parliaments. The first or second day after the opening of the Italian Parliament, one of the Deputies (Bevioni) read out a copy of the whole Secret Treaty comprising some sixteen articles, the fifteenth of which contained the famous clause regarding the exclusion of the Pope, the sixteenth curiously enough being to the effect that the whole treaty was to be kept a secret!

The next day the front page of one of the Catholic organs contained a whole blank column, which, as those in the know were aware, represented Mr. McKean's questions on the existence and purport of that clause. Clearly the Italian authorities had their minds made up to suppress Mr. McKean, however much the English Ministers might fail in doing so. In the English Par-liament, as will be remembered, Mr. McKean returned to the charge in a full dress debate, and in a speech which deserves to be reprinted and widely circulated. exposed the whole miserable system of hypocrisy, trickerv, and subterfuge. Lord Robert Cecil, in his reply, or defence, was driven back on the not very manly or straightforward plea that though there was some such clause, no insult was intended by it, that the Pope was not prevented from entering upon negotiations in connection with the war, and that the only thing the clause did say was that "if Italy objects to the Pope sending a representative to the Peace Conference, England will support that objection." Naturally Mr. McKean seemed unconvinced; but Lord R. Cecil hastcircl to assure him that his objection was based on "a misupprehension of what the clause really provides: and the added) with twere to quote its exact terms,  ${\bf I}$ an convinced he will see that I have quoted it correctly." One wonders, or rather perhaps, one does not wonder, why he did not quote its exact terms. No bucan could be done by so doing, and some such step was rendered necessary by the publication of versions of the churse which were alleged to be inaccurate. "No one (commented the Osservatore Romano) denies the other articles of the treaty, and Lord Robert Cecil (in his previous replies) has admitted what in substance b contained in the fitteenth clause; the secret is theretore no longer a secret ; why not publish the exact text of this unfortunate clause then? The refusal to do so increases the distrust that already exists."

The Italian Foreign Secretary (Baron Sonnino) made a more or less similar statement a day or two later, cchaing Lord Cecit's assertion that the clause meant no more than this that only the belligerents could have a look in at the Peace Conference. To this the *the contration* replied that no one could object to a general stipulation between the belligerents that they and they only were to be represented at the Peace Conference i but the gravamen of the clause was that the Holy See alone was singled out for exception without any mention of the Governments of such countries as those of Spain and the United States. "It is not the affirmation of "that general right (said the Osservatore) but its restriction to the Holy See that is offensive and injurious -that is the question."

In the course of his address or defence on the subject, Baron Sonnino went out of his way to compliment the Holy See and the Catholics of Italy on their conduct during the war—an attitude quite differ-

