

After due and full notice of the以上文獻所載之事件，我們將會採取行動，並對這些詩人和詩歌家提出抗議。在《Hymns》的序言中，Wesley 說道：「我已經很久沒有在心裏存留過這首詩了，因為我應該在很久以前就把它刪掉。」

## Those Congo 'Horrors'

There are signs that some idea of the real strength and true inwardness of the campaign of calumny against the Belgian administration in the Congo is at last beginning to penetrate the British mind; although the anti-Catholic agitators and sensation-mongers are making despairing efforts to keep their cause alive. Their latest scheme was the holding of a "Congo Exhibition," at which was shown the alleged instruments of Belgian cruelty, with the object of sending thrills and creeps down the spinal marrow of the gobernouches. Gullible, by his apparatus, says Carlyle, "all publics are"; and the Congo "reformers" are certainly sparing no pains to secure the "fit" apparatus. "The artfulness and energy," says the *Catholic Times*, "of the Congo agitators cannot be disputed. They are extremely clever in working up sensational stories and pictures. The 'Congo Exhibition' at the Horticultural Hall is a masterstroke. Hitherto the relics by which it has been sought—but sought in vain—to awaken horror amongst the British public have been produced in instalments, as it were. Now, they are massed together as a sort of grand object-lesson revealing the inexpressible cruelty of the Belgian people. The exhibition, too, is strictly a missionary one in the religious sense." What more artistic arrangements could be made for harrowing up the soul? None whatever, seeing that eloquent commentaries on the exhibits were offered by those true and well-tried antagonists of Belgian rule in the Congo, the Earl of Mayo, Dr. Clifford, and the Rev. John Harris. They did paint King Leopold and his subjects in dark colors. Mr. Harris was shocked by the thought of anyone even doubting for a moment that the Belgians delight and persist in atrocities. He was amazed at the statement made by Mr. Belloc in Parliament that Catholics are not in sympathy with the agitators. Mr. Belloc was "incomprehensible." This affectation of conviction that all right-minded men must be aghast at Belgian wickedness is fit for the stage, but not for acceptance by persons who are endowed with common sense. The Belgians are well known to be a go-ahead people, good-natured, pacific, and not at all fond of murder and mutilation.

Meanwhile, evidence of the most disinterested and trustworthy kind in refutation of the Congo calumnies and exaggerations is steadily accumulating. We dealt at some length with the whole subject in our issue of September 23, in which we quoted from a long list of well-known travellers who, speaking with first-hand knowledge and from actual observation, were unanimous in declaring that in the course of varied and more or less lengthened experience they had never encountered the alleged atrocities. The latest testimony, and certainly not the least valuable, in confirmation of the independent witnesses before mentioned, is to be found in the description recently issued by Reuter's agency of the experiences of two gentlemen who were sent to the Congo region on behalf of the British Museum. The expedition consisted of Mr. E. Torday, who had previously resided for more than seven years in the State, Mr. H. Hilton-Simpson, a traveller and explorer, and Mr. N. H. Hardy, an artist. The object of the expedition, according to a statement in the London Tablet, was to collect for the Ethnological Department of the Museum interesting data regarding the natives in the Kasai Basin, in the very district in which the worst of the outrages are said to have occurred. After their prolonged wanderings and careful and minute investigation, so what did they find? We quote Reuter's statement as it appeared in the *Daily Express*:—Leaving England in October 1907, the travellers have thus spent nearly two years in the great forests, plains, and rivers of the heart of the Kasai. Proceeding by train from Matadi to Leopoldville, where some stay was made, the expedition travelled on to Dima, about 600 miles in the interior.

They then took boat along the Congo River, then the Lualaba, then the Lomela, then the Lubefu, where they remained among the Batende people, and then proceeded north to the Lomela River in the forest region. For a large portion of the time—about four months—they were working in the Domaine Privé of the King of the Belgians. During the whole period of their stay there, they declare that they never saw a single act of brutality on the part of the State officials or the company's people. They further state that they never had the least difficulty with the people who formed the company's population, although eighteen of them twenty-five porters were cannibals from the Kivu River. Of these Mr. Hilton-Simpson says that they are some of the best natives he has ever met, kind, obliging, honest, and industrious, trading out of their huts for tobacco and sugar.

These English gentlemen will probably not have increased their popularity with a certain section of the readers of the *Express*, but they will have the satisfaction of knowing that they have given the truth a much-needed airing.

## RUBBER AND THE CONGO

About the middle of the eighteenth century (says *Antedate* of October 23) the English people began to use a substance which the learned had long known as caoutchouc. The name looked hard, and a certain moral courage was needed to pronounce it by giving a sort of dry sneeze. Of course, really scientific persons, philosophers, they were called in those days, had no difficulty in the matter, but sneezed to one another with a fine abandon which implied: You know what I mean. The unlearned, however, were tormented with self-consciousness. Though they might use the word occasionally, the doubt would always recur: Does it really spell that? And as at first they employed the thing for rubbing out pencil-marks, they soon gave up the philosophic sneeze for an easier name, india-rubber, lawlessly compounded of the place of origin, the Indies (for in the good old days this expressed all tropical America as well as Southern Asia), and the domestic use. Practical men found out that the properties of the substance could be modified with very simple treatment, and began to make of it elastic bands, tubes, and cloth, but it still kept its popular name.

An attempt was made in this country to call it gum; perhaps because it comes from a milky juice that exudes from a tree much in the same way as what the speech of cities calls turpentine, but that of the forest terms more properly gum, flows from the pine and the fir. Overshoes for wet weather were coated with it. These were called in England galoshes, from the French *galoche*; our grandmothers called them gums, and used to admonish our mothers not to go out in the wet without their gums. The men of the fifties in California wore gum-boots, which sometimes caused in the feet a disease known as gum-boot gout. But in this discrepancy of names the old country gained one of its few victories over the New World, a sort of Chesapeake and Shannon affair, to set against the long catalogue of defects. The American gum disappeared, vanquished by the English India-rubber.

The American has the great quality of not knowing when he is beaten. Others claim it also. Whether they have an equal right to it, or a greater or a less, or any right at all, may be discussed in connection with other things. What is to the point now is, that the American people were blind to their defeat in the gum-india-rubber question. Indeed, we took so kindly to the victorious name, we got to be on such friendly terms with it, that we soon dropped the ceremonious *india*, and spoke familiarly of rubber. Gum may likely survived in some out-of-the-way corner, but rubber stalked through the land as if native and to the manner born. The Englishman might still speak of galoshes, for us they became rubbers. He might wear a mackintosh, we know only the rubber coat. We used rubber hose to water our gardens, we put rubber tyres on our carriages and automobiles. We ignored *india* so persistently that at length *gum* was changed into victory, and to-day rubber, plain and untitled, is the word of commerce, wherever the English tongue is spoken. But the substance has become an important article of trade, and the Indies so vaguely splendid when the world was younger are no longer heard of. Our exact school geographies tell us that it comes from South America, Asia, and Africa, and when Africa is mentioned, the article of trade becomes a sight of controversy. Large quantities of rubber are exported from what was the Congo Free State, and is now the Belgian Congo Colony. It is produced largely in the Bakuba country, which, according to the English Congo Reform Association and its American friends, while intruded by white foot, was a terrestrial paradise. Its people were free and happy under the mild rule of their kings, their great houses lined the well-swept streets of the towns, and their broad fields brought forth maize, beans, potatoes, and tobacco abundantly. When the fancy took them they hunted the elephant for his tusks.