

country is divided.

HENRY HUGHES

5,

Officer at Queen's On AUCKLAND : 188

CINTERNATIONAL PATIENT AGENT, \*\*\* (EHAb. 1889)

ereford Street. OFBISTORUBOH

The real significance of this item of news ; lies in what the cable-fiend deemed it prudent to suppress. The League of French Catholics ' is a misnomer, a false label, a fraudulent designation Its founder is not a leader of French Catholic thought, but as mere journalistic hack. His name is Dos Houx. He was, we im-agine, once a Catholic of a sort. For a time he edited the Moniteur de Rome , but in such a manner that his services had to be dispensed with, and the paper (à Catholic one in the French language) was fin-ally suppressed by Pope Leo XIII. Des Houx has been since then a man with a grievance. He is now employed as a writer on the Paris, Matin', which (as is well known) is the organ of the atheistic Freemason-Radical-Socialist 'Bloc' that organised the present persecu-tion - The 'yellow' men of Portadown are said to entertain a particularly poor opinion of the Pope. But their opinion and its expression are as gentle as the coolings of the sucking dove compared with those of the 'Matin', which is notorious for the vindictive bitterness A known of its antagonism to revealed religion. Papish' might, by some possible combination of cir-cumstances, achieve the distinction of securing employment higher than that of a scavenger from the saffron city council of Belfast. But a known, professing, and practising Christian would never soar to the dizzy heights of the hope of employment in the office of the Matin'. Des Houx's position on that rabid organ of persecution sufficiently determines, by itself alone, his attitude towards religion. And the anti-Catholic character of the Des Houx League may be sufficiently gathered from the offensive language of its first manifesto, and from the evident object of its formation-it having been 'inaugurated' (says a caple despatch of Sep-tember 24 from Paris to the American associated, press) 'with the purpose of creating a schism' and starting " a distinct Gallican Church .

21.

In Paris Des Houx is a nobody. But even a stuffed figure will pass at a distance for somebody. Out here on the rim of the world the anticlefical hack of the 'Matin' is made by the cable demon to pass for the leader of a new 'reform' in France. And the socalled 'League' of French' Catholics' is made to ap-pear a serious ' movement' among professing and practising Christians. Judging by the information before us (which we believe to be in every particular authoritative), a more misleading conception of the Des Houx business could scarcely have been sent to our shores. We have already pointed out, in the columns of some of the secular papers, how, according to the despatch last mentioned, there was a small altendance when the League was founded, on September 24. No known Catholics, or at least no prominent Catholics, seem to have. been present. According to a Parisian account before us, the opening of the new 4 movement was a farcical affair, with Des Houx as gits beginning, centre, and end. It seems as if the new association would have been more apply named the League of French Anti-Catholics. The impression in Catholic centres in Paris seems to he that Des Houx (who, as stated, is nobody in parti-cular) is simply the cat's-paw of M. Briand (Minister of Justice and Education), who urged the formation of worship associations without consultation with the bi-shops, and who, at the teachers' congress at Amiens, declared that , religion must be banished from the minds of the children of France, and that 'il faut en finir avec l'idee chretienne ' (we-must get rid of Christianity). Our readers should bear the following facts in mind : (1) The public worship associations, as prowided for; in the Separation; Law, that comes in force on December 11, are exclusively lay bodies. (2) They are to have full control of churches, seminaries, presbyteries, etc. (3) They are (as deputy Emile Molot declared) free to establish any form of 'worship 'that they please, and the parish clergy would be merely their paid employees. (1) They are completely inde-