
imperfection debars one from entering the kingdom of heaven. Yet death, it may be, mowed down many a Caristian suddenly, without a moment wherein to explate their faults. Even though they diad after a lingering illness, this very illness may have been to them a fresh cause of offence. There was a lack of patience to the end, a want of self-denial, of generous detachm at from creatures, of submussion to God's adorable will Besides well-known faults, how many secret size unknown to man ; nay m re, even lost sight of by guilty self ? But, it may be urged, they confessed them in the Bacred tribunal of penance. True, but even then, have they fully satisfied the justice of God? David fell grievously, and he repented of his crime. God forgave him the guilt thereof, yet had he not long to explate it by the greatest of sufferings? How, then shall we expect such souls to be admitted to God's kingdom immediately after death? What shall be their lot? Shall we condemn them to hell ? Is not the bare thought hateful to us and repugnant to the infinite mercy and justice of G d? Mercover, is it not written "Out of hell there is no redemption ? "

We may have witnessed their faults, but have we not often witnessed their heartfalt sorrow and humility too? Does not the Boyal Psalmist proclaim this consoling thet: "A contrite and humble heart, O God, Thou wilt not despise ?" (Ps, 50-18).

Is it not then more in harmony with our thoughts of God's justice and mercy that there thould be a middle state for such scals? Hive not all peoples believed in such a state, and in the efficacy of prayer offered in behalf of those condemned for a time to suffer therein. You, too, dearly beloved brethren and e'idrin in Christ, glory in believing, with the inspired writer, that "it is a holy and a whole some thought to pray for the dead that they may be loosed from sins" (i Mach. xii, 46). And if, at times, it seems strange that a God of infinite goodness suffer these holy scals to singer so long amid each fearful torments, marvel not, but recall to mind the many and striking examples recorded in the sacred pages of the chastisements infinited by the Almighty on what we call vental sin. His just anger spares neither Moses nor David. Nadab, Abiu, an i others fail in the observance of mere ceremonial rites under the Old Law, in matters,

dogma is older thin Christianity, that it is unmistakably taught in the Old Testament. Open the inspired pages for the proof of our assertion. Do we not read in 12th chapter of the second book of Machaboos, verses 39 to 46, that one hundred and fifty years before the light of the Gospel dawsed on the world, after a long and glorious victory, the first care of Judes Machabous was to pray to the Lord in behalf of those of his brethren who died amid the triumph of war? Was he not afraid lest, though they fell fighting for God and their country, some sing they may have committed rendered them displeasing to the Almighty? Hear the very words of Holy Writ : The day following Judas came . . to take away the bodies of them that were slain and to bury them . . and making a gathering, he sent twelve thousand drachms of silver to Jerusalem for sacrifice to be offered for the sins of the dead thinking well and religiously concerning the resurrection. For if he had not hoped that those that were slain should rise again, it would have seemed superfluous and vain to pray for the dead And because he considered that they who had fallen asleep with godliness, had great grace laid up for them. It is therefore a holy and wholesome thought to pray for the dead that they may be loosed from sins." Does not the inspired penman here praise Judas Machabaus for his act of piety towards the dead? Does he not present him as a model to future ages ? Are not these striking words of Holy Writ strong Scriptural grounds warranting our belief in Purgatory? Do they not amply prove its existence? The dead for whom the Inspired Writer declares it a ho'y and a wholesome thought to pray are not in heaven. The critzins of heaven are confirmed in grace, hence they can need no prayers. It cannot be for the dead who are banished to hell. For, confirmed in wor, the inmates of hell are beyond all hope of salvation. Wherefore, prayers for either are useless. Again, the sins to be forgiven the dead by the prayers of the living are not mortal. One single mortal ein were enough to hurl the offender into the depths of helt. The demons were damned for one single sin of pride. If the sins from which the dead are delivered through the prayers of the living are not mortal then they must be venial sins. If venial, they are enough to prevent those dying with such stains on their soul from entering into the kingdom



too, of seemingly little import, and in circumstances which should apparently excuse them. Yet God's dread ire fell heavily upon them. Ozia thoughtlessly stretches forth his hand to uphold the tottering Ark, when, lot he is immediately struck dead in the sight and to the great consternation of the whole peoplet. Why all this severity? Because the swful parity of God's majesty so deeply abhors the least b'emish, that, were we able to close for ever the dread gates of hell, save all who are burning therein, free Purgatory and secure the salvation of all maskind by telling one little, the least he it were unlawful to do so. For, whatever accidental glory the Almighty might receive from the salvation of so maly myriade, it would not adequately atone for the outrage inflicted upon the Godhead by this single violation of truth.

Let us hasten to consider other grounds of our belief in the beautiful devotion for the dead. From the remotest times till the fatal schism of the sixteenth century, the dogma of Purgatory was believed and oberished everywhere throughout the Christian world. Oburches and cloisters were everywhere reared, rich foundstions were made vividly to remind the survivors of their ob igation to pray for the departed. Covetous men gloated over the sight of the spoils which would fall to their lot if the dogma of Purgatory could be denied. Hence the wicked assertion that it was an innovation, a new-fangled doctrine due to the wiles of a crafty and designing priesthood, and the ignorant simplicity of a superstitious and priest-ridden people. Hence the cry which was at once taken up and repeated to our own days : " Purgatory has neuther Scriptural nor historical grounds to warrant our belief therein," The dogma of of Purgatory an innovation? Prayers for the dead a new-fangled doctrine! Far from this. We fearlessly proclaim that they are older than Christianity itself. The dogma of Purgatory and prayers for the dead are clearly taught in the Old and obviously implied in the New Testament. They are to be found in the writings of the call f Fathers and Doctors of the Oburch Embodied in all the ancient Liturgies of the East and the West, they are taught in all the religious systems of old, whilst the doctrino is one of the most reasonable and consoling to the human heart. We have said that the

of heaven. For it is of faith that "There shall not enter into it anything defiled" (Apoc. xxi, 27). Yet such faults and defilement must be explated and atoned for. The dead cannot explate them in this world. They must do so in the next, in the place of expiation, which we call Purgatory. If we pray for them, we hasten their deliverance and do "a holy and a wholesome thing." What have the so-called reformers done to snatch this and other no less glorious monuments of our faith from the C tholic Church? Finding it impossible to weaken the force of the foregoing passage, like an unscrupulous criminal in presence of an adverse witness, they boldly denied the books of the Mashabees to be canonical. Yet we know that these very books have the same authority as the boly Gospels or any other part of the Bible. On what other authority save that of the Catholic Church rests the Canon of Holy Writ? Long centuries before the sad sobism of the sixteenth century snatched so many children from the bosom of the true Church, long before there was any dispute about Purgatory or prayers for the dead, was not the Catholic Church everywhere recognised as the sole depository, the faithful guardian of the Word of God contained in the sacred pages of Holy Writ ? Was it not through her judgment alone that the world knew what was canonical or not? What right had anyone to reject the authority of books which for sixteen hundred years Christ's Infallible Church had pronounced to be inspired ? What right save the fact that these inspired books condemned their opinions which were the offepring of their arrogant pride, and the cause of their unnatural revolt? But waiving for the moment the question of their inspiration, who would dare deny their truthfulness as grand historical monumen's, proving to a demonstration, that to pray and offer sacrifice for the dead was the prevailing practice among the Jewes Furthermore we knew that our b'essed Lord came "not to destroy but to perfect the law." He would purify the Jewish Church from He condemns the Pharisees for prohibiting all false traditic works of charity on the Sabbath day. He rebukes them for their many innovations in doctrine and discipline, as we may see in the 25th chapter of the Gospel according to St Matthew. But nowhere does He ever robuke the Jews for their behef in a middle state, or in

The BEST VALUE FOR MONEY RAINBOW'S SUPERLATIVE MIXTURE for COUGHS, COLDS, BRONCHITIS, ASTHWA, SHORTNESS OF BREATH, OF DISEASED in the World is

LANGDOWN & STEEL Family Butchers, 178 and 180 Colombo Street, Christchurch.—Hams, Bacon, Beef Sausages, German Sausages, brawn, White Puddings, Pressud Reet, Corned Reef, Corned Pork, Ox Tongues, and a good supply of Small Goods. Orders solicited daily and delivered punctually. Ring up Telephane 389.