The House of Romanoff.

There is great resounding of church bells and guos in Russia to-day (March 8th, says a correspondent in the "Daily News"). The Emperor of the Czara is telebrating the three-hundredth annivertary of the accession of Mikhail Feodoro-itch, the first Romanoff, to the Moscow throne. Students of history know the genealogical fiction which vitiates the tradition of the dynastic continuity of the Russian Imperial House. All the Drara, beginning with Alexander L 1801-1825), are the descendants of

NIL DESPERANDUM!

"NEVER DESPAIR!" To how many thousands of sufferers, feeble and worn by long-continued ill-health, have these hopeful words of consolation and encouragement sounded almost like a heartless mockery! Yet there are countless cases in which illness may be combated, and the sufferer speedily restored to perfect health, by the use of very simple remedies.

Holloway's Pills

for seventy-five years have proved themselves to be invaluable in cases of ailments of the digestive organs, in constipation, sick headache and sleeplessness, while they also prove of the greatest service as remedies for anemia and kindred ailments to which girls and women are subject. Used in conjunction with that other well-known specific,

HOLLOWAY'S OINTMENT,

they are invaluable in cases of rheumatism, lumbago, and still joints, and as a curative application for sprains and bruises the OINTMENT is unrivelled.

Holloway's Remedies

have had a world-wide reputation, founded on countless successes, for three-quarters of a century, and the most afflicted sufferer may still become an embusiastic believer in

NIL DESPERANDUM!





Paul I., but Paul I. though the son of his mother, Catharine the Great, was not the son of his father, Peter III., and Peter III. himself, though the nephew of his predecessor on the throne, Elizabeth, was neither a Romanoff nor even a Russian. He was the son of Elizabeth sister Anne, who had been married to a Prince of Holstein, and was therefore himself a Holstein. These are important facts from a dynastic point of view. Politically, however, they are immaterial, and are only mentioned here as a matter of historical curiosity.

Politically, however, they are immaterial, and are only mentioned here as a matter of historical curiosity.

Who, then, are (or were) the Romanoffis, and what was the part they played in Russian history? They came to power in troublous times. The old Rurik dynasty having come to an end in 1598, six Czars, including even a Pole and a Catholic, were tried by the Boyar oligarchy in succession, but without success. The great desideratum was a Czar strong enough to assert his authority over the people, yet willing enough to serve as a tool of the Boyars.

None of the six Czars just mentioned

None of the six Czars just mentioned satisfied the two conditions, and the Boyars at last decided to exact from the future candidate only one qualification. Their choice accordingly fell upon the young and silly" (as he was attested by his proposers) son of the Metropolitan Philaretus, Mikhail. The choice was exceedingly lucky, for both the new Carand his two successors proved exceedingly pious men, with no taste or capacity for State affairs, and the Boyars did pretty much as they wanted. The peasantry was attached to the soil; its repeated risings were suppressed in torrents of blood; Oukraine was annexed on the pretext of being saved from Polish domination; and a new criminal code, the most barbarous Russia everhad, was issued.

But then came Peter I, and took his revenge. The too, was no friend of the people, whem he riveted still more firmly to the servite yoke, but neither was he soon curbed with an iron hand. Peter was the true successor of Ivan the Terrible in the work of establishing the autocracy of the Cardom, and he was also like him in character, wild and cruck his own and only son Alexis suffered death at his hands after long forture in prison. But Peter was a genius. Her forced Russia into new paths, and determined her foreign policy for centuries to come, as the embodiment of her endeavour to reach the warm sed.

warm sea.

Who were his successons? A whole phalanx of figures, men, women, and even children, pass before our eyes, one more unlovely than the other, with one sole exception. There was Catharine 1, Peter's widow, a German woman of low dirth and loose morality, drinking heavily from morning till night, and until even to sign her name. There was Peter II., the son of the mardered Alexis, a vicious boy of thirteen, who soon died. Then there was a nigee of Peter the Great, another German, who did not know a word of Russian, a certain Duchess of Courland who ruled the Empire through one of her favourites, also a German of low birth, named Buhren. Then we eatch a glimps of a one-year-old infant, Ivan Antonovitch, who was morn deposed, thrown into the Schlasselburg fortress, and there eventually put to death by order of Catharine. Incending the throne with the help of the palace guards and scandalously lazying away on the throne for dwenty years. Then we see the above-mentioned Peter III., an imbecile and drunkard, reigning for five months, and then deposed and mandered by courtiers setting on helalf of his highly gifted and endured wife, Catharine III, who now ascended the throne. This was the second remarkable figure on the Russian drone—a Meesalina, it is true, and to this day remembered with experience but with the people of Oukraine whom she deprived of their personal liberties, but with the people of Oukraine whom the detrived of their personal liberties, but with the people of Oukraine whom the detrived of their personal liberties, but with the people of Oukraine whom

and to this day remembered with experation by the people of Oukraine whom she deprived of their personal liberties, but withal a genius in statecraft.

The successor, however, Paul, of obscure parentage, was a lunatic and also had to the "removed." In this case it was his own son, Alexander I., with whose knowledge and permission the dark deed was accomplished. That, however, was the turning-point in other history of the Russian throne. Alexander I. was an attractive personality, though he lurned afterwards a mystic. Nicholas I, was an rathless despot, but his personal character was blamelosis. Alexander H. was animated with good jutentions, but he lacked decision, and this proved the tragedy of his life and death.

On the other hand, his son, Alexander III., was an autocrat to the tips of his singers and of great power of will, while the present Car combines the ohief traits of his two predecessors.

Three hundred years are a large span of time, but the historian's eye discerns but few bright spots in the long record of the reigns which fill them.





