The Bookshelf. By DELTA.

BOOKSHELF FEUILLETON.

R. EDWIN ARNOLD is publishing shortly "Recollections of South Africa," by Lady Sarah Wilson. As every one will remember, Lady Sarah Wilson was a war correspondent in South Africa, and was taken prisoner by the Boers out-

was taken prisoner by the Boers out-was taken prisoner by the Boers out-side Matching. Mr. Joseph Keating, whose daring novel kas created such a semaction in "Home" political circles, is the brother of Mr. Matthew Keating, M.P. for South Kilkenny. Hitherto Mr. Keating has been best known as a writer of vivid, dramatic stories of mining life. It re-mains to be seen whether his incursion into the realms of political fielion will be successful enough to justify further invoids. We confess we like Mr. Keat-ing's physiognomy as revealed by the ad-mainate optimate of him which appears in the current number of the Bookman. A novel which bears the sensational title of "A Mission to Hell," is an-nounced by a Boston publisher. Its author is a Congregational minister mend Eells who halls from Massachu-setts.

series, Scribner's are said to have paid Col-onel Recovert, as he is now called, a dollar a word for his South African ar-ticles. A New York newspaper man speaking to Galbraith, of the Bookman, sty :: "Twe not heavy that Scribner's is busines a charactural sola to correspond

ticles. A New York newspaper man speaking to Galbraich, of the Bookman, say :: "Twe not heard that Scribner's is having a phenomenal sale to correspond with the phenomenal price they paid." Sometimes I wonder if the price was really a dollar a word, or if the an-nouncement was intended to be taken with a pinch of salt, as we take the an-numeenneth withich the imprestrio makes es to the five-figure salary he is going to pay to his prima donna." It is only fair to say, adds Galbraich, that this is the first time I have heard of this spitcful suspicion, though many people appear to doubt whether Colonel, Roosevelt's ar-ticles are now so vahiable as they pro-mised to be when he was still President. All of which goes to show the ephemeral nature of tame and precise. "That ine schidar and litterateur, Dr. William Burry, has an article in a pro-minent literacy review on Mr. H. G. Wells, "Ann Vermica." Ann Vermica he compares to a kind of hesitating George Stud, and with Grant Allen's "Woman Who Did." Like Dr. Barry we were thoroughly interested and enjoyed Mr. Wells' book until we came to the Ramage scene. Then our respect and our belief in Mr. Wells' bona fides de-clined, and we waled in a slough of in-reasing despondency until the end of his book was reached. That it may he an absolutely true presentment of the attinate of the procedure of many of the ultra-modern young women of to-day we have no reason to doubt. But all the same it is, to put it as milly as day we have no reason to doubt. But nll the same it is, to put it as mildly as possible, a nasty presentment, and utter-ly unworthy of Mr. Wells. And if he, with his great gifts of progressive thought and marvellous prophetic in-sight, can offer us nothing more com-forting or ideal for the future of the feminine disciple of modernity than a vision of an "Ann Veronica whitewashed by a completent society because of a tardy marriage, and an increased pros-perity, we like Dr. Barry, conceive our-relves justified in wishing that Mr. Wells had not conceived, anuch less written

proof, we define the control concerve dif-network without in wishing that Mr. Wells had not conceived, much less written "Ann Veronica," Most prominent amongst the attrac-tions of "Life" for January is Dr. Fit-chelt's account of how he first because acquainted with the "Cornhill Maga-zino." forty years ago. The article re-produced in "Life" first appeared in the "Conhill," which has just been celebrat-ing its jubilee. Admirable photographe are given of its first editor and founder, the late George Murray Smith, and its present editor. Mr Reginald J. Smith, K.C. Grant Richards have lately published

K.(). Grant Richards have lately published at the low price of 3.6, a book which contains three proce plays written by that powerful writer, Mr. John Miss-field. The "longest and the finest," is numed "The Tragedy of Nan," The other two, and especially "Mrs. Harri-son" (which has never been acted), a sequel to "The Campden Wonder," are presentation of character through an ar-

tistic arangement of natural speech. The first mentioned is really all that matters just now. It is said by Mr. Edward Thomas to resemble a ballad, if there were one, that had all the mourn-fuiness and beauty of its nutsic wrought into its very words. For Mr. Massfield's play consbines the effect of music and words. It has the rusticity, the breath of Nature, and the possion "more pre-cious than Sheha's gold," which the best cious than Sheba's gold," which the best of the ballads have at those best mo-ments where literi words are all but mad with the inexpressible extremity of love and misery. And yet there is no place where it can be said that Mr. Masefield turns lyrie poet and ceases to be dramatic. He is as strict in the final scene as in the chat over the dough. The influence of the ballads has been erreat in nextry. But this not has been great in poetry. But this poet has been

may readily be believed that were it not for his extraordinary success as an editor, endowed with a natural in-stinct, with an unfailing flair and good editor, endowed with a natural in-stinct, with an unfailing flair and good discretion, the centerary of his birth, which took place on November 30, 1809, might have been allowed to pass by the "Bookman" without the celebration and without the conse-cration of a special illustrated article to his memory. He was, declares Mr. Spielman, a worker at the edge of the literary field, and took on any job that fell in with his love of writing and of lumnour, and demanded little scholar-ship and less learning. His chief love was for humour and the stage; class journalism became his profession, and good judgment controlled- his pen. Nevertheless, his style was good enough for his purpose, and his dramatic sense was sufficiently keen enough to carry to a successful isme any staged play of his, as the public of his day were not as critical of what has been called "their middle-class cutertainment" as now. None of Mark Lemon's plays, Mr. Spielman thinks, are ever played



Mrs. Tubles: "But you can't expect us to believe that Methusehh could have lived to the age of 960 years?" The Curate (cornered, and taking refuge in mild humour): "Oh, I don't know! There were no motor-cars in those days?"

able to preserve the simplicity of the hallad while enriching it with the beauty of a grave and sensitive modern spirit that has long brockled upon it. He has drawn from the resite fullle music that might have graced an exquisite violin." Shaw P. Bullack writes whimsically and sympathetically and critically in the November Bookman on Mr. Robert Lyral's new book "Home Life in Irc-land" (Mills and Boon). Everything af-fecting Irish home and social and edu-cational life is discoursed upon and thor-onghly ventilated. Mr. Lynd is no Hardy, says Mr. Bullock in effect. But he knows-he knows. And all he says is worth knowing."

Space forbids a mention of "Billicks," Mr. St. John Adcock's interitably writ-ten book, but we hope to give a resume of it next week.

The Mark Lemon Centenary.

The Mark Lemon Centenary. More than ordinarily interesting is the current number of the "Bookman," which contributes a long article to mark the centenary of that famous editor of "Punch," Mark Lemon. This centenary article, which has been written by Mr. W. H. Spielman, can scarcely be called a flattering one. Mark Lemon's place in literature is not, we are told, diffi-cut to determine. He was not, in the true sense, a man of letters, and it

his children's books are republished, and those that are, are republished more for the sake of their illustrations than their text. The volume by which he is best remembered is "Mark Lemon's Jest-book," containing the wit of all ages, including jokes of his own staff— Thackersy, Douglas Jerrold, and others. By 1864, it had run into its seventh edition, and if it is still purchased, it is partly because it is treasured by col-lectors of the works of Charles Keene, who drew the design on the title-page that was engraved on steel by Jeans. At this juncture readers will naturally wonder how Mark Lemon eame to be "Punch's" most popular editor. The story of Mark Lemon's rise to the edithe sake of their illustrations than wonder how Mark Lemon came to be "Punch's" most popular editor. The story of Mark Lemon's rise to the edi-torial chair, as told by Mr. Spielman, is a splendid illustration of the saying, that, "It is better to be born lucky than rich." Mark Lemon was the son than rich." Mark Lemon was the son of a hop grower or hop merchant, of Cheam, mear Epson. At his father's death his mother married a brewer named Very. Being without means, he was glad to accept a derical position in the brewery, and eked out his sat-ary by writing for the magazines, which pursuit, however, yielded very little grist. The brewery failing, a jovial tavern-keeper named Romer, who had had business relations with the Very brewery, placed him as manager of

"The Shakespeare Head," in Wych-street. The result was unfortunate fog both. Romer had to shut up the tayand Lemon found that the fumer ern. of the beer stuck to him more or less through life, and were audibly sniffed turougn life, and were audibly snifted at by his enemies at certain critical points of his career. He married on a loan of five pounds, an adventurous etcp, which was justified by re-sults, as Mrs, Lemon counselle: him not to lose sight of his literary com-panions, many of whom would meet, like the literary clubann of a previous age, in the mis-called "coffee-room" of the little hostely. Lemon had been writing plays from the age of sixteen. In 1853 bis "P.L., or No. 30 Strand," was produced at the Strand Theatre, and theneforward for twenty years and more he flooted the stage with his pro-ductions, not a frev of which, no doub, were based upon French or German ori-ginals. In 1811 Lemon hecame elitor of "Punch." His salary, we are told by Spielman, was at first only thirty shiftings a week; but it was destined to rise to £1,500 a year before the end--the hrgest editorial salary, it is be-lieved, which up to that time had even-been paid." Notwithstanding the day frame anxieties of his new position, Lemon still continued to write for the stage. A good story of him is told by Mr. Spielman in connection with his carter as a dramatist. A play of bir, entitled "Druch," meressitated the in-troduction of a parrot into its open-ing scene, and when the curtain rose on the first night, the profane bird belehed forth such a torrent of appal-ling bissphemy that the success of the play would have been jeoparlised had it not been for the sense of humour of a shocked yet tolevant audience. In 1856 "Medea" was produced, and then the stage knew him no more. Sixty plays in all, we are told, and not one-samong them showed an attempt afs remonon owers," but his more vigoroms writing seems to have been whold Works," "Once a Week," the "Histori-to stade of all was his editorship. For "Bonch,' as has been hinted, did not monopolise his attention; he was the first editor of the "London Journal," which, it is assid, he eastry the di-bibethy as the secons and the other "Humb,'' Mark Lemon main and indon the stabilishing. To-s