W. Bardsley, c Lilley, b Belf	rdsley, c Lilley, b Relf 0 McAlister, not out 19 Gregory, not out 18
P. A. McAlister, not out E. Gregory, not out Sundries	
Total for one wirket	41

Bowling Analysis: Relf one for 9, Hirst none for 28.

The Australians have put up a great performance in winning the second test by so substantial a margin. Their vic-tory was due to sheer merit, and has effectually dissipated the fear engendered by their showing in the first test that they were outclassed by the Englishmen. All through the Australians have shown to advantage, their bowling was good, their fielding, although a couple of ohan-ees were missed, was described as bril-liant, and their rate of scoring at the wic-kets was much absed of that of the home side. When the bare scores were first Just, and their face of storing at the wie kets was much absed of that of the home side. When the bare scores were first cabled through, one naturally thought that the wicket must have been sodden and in fayour of the bowlers, but the de-tailed account showed that it was all the other way, and the dismissal of so strong a batting side on a plunb wicket for 121 runs is a remarkable performance, for which the main credit must be taken by Armstrong, who has proved of immense service to his side all through the tour. The third test commences on July 1 at Leeds, while the fourth will be played at the end of the month, and the fifth on August 0. The last will be played to a final should the teams have an equal number of wina.

final should the teams have an equi-number of wing. The Australians have now played twelve matches, winning five, losing three, and drawing four.

West of Scotland Beaten

West of Scotland, in the second in-nings against the Australians, made 144 nings against the Australians, made 14* runs, and the Australians won the match by an innings and 188 runs. The weather was fine and the attend-ance numbered 6000.

The Effect of Visiting Teams on English Cricket.

(By H. D. G. LEVESON-GOWER, of Surrey.)

Surrey.) To express one's view on the above subject is not altogether an easy task, rendered none the less easy for me per-sonally from the fact that I have never had the advantage of a visit to Aus-tralia, so I an unable to say anything on that score: I can only form an opin-ion from what has appeared to me to be the effect of foreign cricket tours to this country on cricket in England. To my way of thinking, there is no doubt at all in the imperial value of these tours. I am sometimes afraid that we in Eng-land are rather inclined to be too in-sular, too narrow minded in our out-look, and with all our much vaunted love, of good sport and fair play we are not, taking one huge cricket crowd with another, always ready to allow that England or English sides have had luck, whilst we are not alow to notice if a shower of rain or perhaps an obvious mistake fn umpiring has advanced the cause of the visiting team. **Clannishness and Pride.**

Clannishness and Pride.

of one's county or country, which are much the same thing, are excellent in their way, but they can be carried too far when allowed in cricket, or other their way, but they can be carried too far when allowed in cricket, or other games, to overrule one's sense of fair play, or to usurp the place which by right ought to be occupied in the mind of every Briton by a strict feeling of impartiality. During visits of foreign teams we find that however good we may previously have thought ourselves there are others just as good if not bet-ter. Once one has recognised that fact a good thing has been accomplished. For it is very true that we do not sufficient-ly recognise that we who have for so long led the whole world in sport and in games cannot hope to do so for ever. Other and younger nations, as far as sport is concerned, must of necessity improve, and we have as time goes on to fight accomplished performers, and not novices. That we are often beaten does not mean either that we are one whit worse players than we were, or that the visitors have had all the luck, but it means that the others have them in but it means that the others have pro-fited by the lessons we gave them in past years. I do not think all the writpast years. A to not tain all the write-ers on games in the columns of our sporting papers quite realise this. These tours help to broaden our views, and that most certainly assists the game generally by inducing a more generous

spirit of appreciation of the deeds of the visiting team, whether It be from Australia, South Africa, or America, or whether it be that of a neighbouring county. Generous and healthy rivalry is one thing, that engendered by the hor-rible atmosphere which surrounds a crowd of "barrackers," and non-sports-men of that kind, is quite another thing. Better and finer cricket: or football for men of that kind, is quite another thing. Better and finer cricket; or football for the matter of that, is played when the rivalry is a healthy and a sporting one. The reverse is the case when there is the alightest semblance of acrimony both in the Press and among the cricket following public, and my view of for-eign tours in this country is that they tend to reduce that sort of thing to vanishing point, and by doing so do the game generally ever so much good.

Lessons We Have Learnt.

Now, as to the good done to the game itself cricketers have no doubt what-ever upon this score. Both the Aus-tralians and the South Africans have tralians and the South Africans have taught us something. Placed under sep-arate heads I may enumerate the vari-ous teachings of the two cricket powers as follows. The Australians of course come first, just as they came first to our shorzs, but I am not sure that the South Africans have not taught us the best thing of all. That, by the way. Here are the things these foreign tours have taught. (1) The Australians showed us the value of fast break-bowling. (2) Of how to place the field. (3) Of doing away with the long stop, thereby having another fieldsman to thereby having another fieldsma place elsewhere, (4) The South Af showed us the real efficacy of South Africans pince ensembles, (a) the south Afficans showed us the real efficacy of good "googlic" bowling, which before we had only half suspected, and had regarded only as freak bowling, a passing fancy which would retire from the game with the invertee.

only as ireus bowing, a passing time, which would retire from the game with its inventor. Dealing with these scriatim—there is no possible doubt that until the appear-ance of Spofforth we in this country had not realised how much deadlier good fast break-bowling is than slow break-bowling. The late Alfred Shaw, the emperor of slow medium right hand bowlers, as an admirer not inaply had termed him, was good enough in all conscience, but for sheer deadliness his slow break-bowling was not to be com-pared with that of the faster Spofforth, of C. T. B. Turner, and later W. Lock-wood and T. Richardson. Naturally the physical wear and tear being ever so much less, the slower, bowlers had longer careers than the fast, but given both at their very best for a given week both at their very best for a given week there is no question but that the fast break-bowler who was practically known to us until Spofforth's a arrival (though he was never a fast bowler like N. A. Knox, W. Brearley, Lockwood or Richardson) would always beat the slow against good batsmen.

Scattering the Field.

Scattering the Field. Secondly, we had a fair idea of how to place our field before the Australians began to win test matches, but they undoubtedly showed us many wrinkles in the art of placing men where most likely to be useful. It is said that in the back-blocks of Australia the usual word of command of the fielding captain on arriving at the pitch is: "Now, then, boys, scatter." But, believes me, that is not the way W. L. Murdoch or J. J. Darling used to place their field, nor do I expect any of our umpires will overhear M. A. Noble start operations in a test match this year in this de-lightfully inconsequent fashion. Austra-lian captains study the batsmen very closely, even down to noticing that the non-striker is either a very bad or over-cager backer-up--not a single thing escapes them. They are, one must say, most admirably seconded in their efforts by their bowlers, who not only bowl to orders, but may be trusted far more to bowl to orders than is the case with many of our bowlers in county cricket. In this connection, I can recall one of the best bits of captaincy seen on an English ground for many a year, that of Darling at Lord's in 1005. The un-observant critics were caugh napping very badly that day. As a natural of Darling at Lord's in 1005. The un-observant critics were caught mapping very badly that day. As a natural result, C. B. Fry and Hayward came in for a severe criticism for slow, play, which was quite undeserved. On a slow easy wicket Darling had Armstrong bowling wide to leg at one end, and McLeod bowling round the wicket, going away very wide to off, at the other. Both, Armstrong especially, kept a superb length, while Armstrongs "width," which barely received notice by the critics, was such that scoring was in any case difficult. But with the plose in field Darling had posted, it

became to all intents and purposes im-practicable. Whether U.13 was a good match-winning tactic is not the point here. It was unquestiorably good cap-taincy if the object of it was, as there Laincy if the object of it was, as there is sufficient presumptive evidence to assume it was, to tire out the batsmen and so secure a good start—that most in-valuable asset in all big cricket. But op-posed to these factics we had two of the most imperturbable batsmen of all time. Hayward far too stolid and steady to be drawn, and Fry ever so much too far seeing and ealculating to ever be guilty of throwing his wicket away merely because his principal scoring strokes were blocked. It is in all such examples as these that the Australians have taught

these that the Australians have taught the art of placing the field to any who will learn. Thirdly, J. McCarthy Blackhant came and shocked the cricket proprieties by standing right up to the stumps and "taking" all bowling alike. Since then we have had a long line of stumper----in fact, and not in name only. Men like Lilley, H. Martyn, Stradwick, Hum-phries, Roard, and Butt, among whom the professionals do not stand close up to the extra fast bowling, not because they cannot, but because in nearly every they cannot, but because in nearly every case their captain orders them not to. "Googlie" Bowling.

Fourthly, and finally, the South Afri-cans-R. O. Schwarz, G. A. Faulkner, A. E. Vogler, and G. C. White-created Fourthly, and finally, the South Afri-cana—R. O. Schwarz, G. A. Faulkner, A. E. Vogler, and G. C. White—created a marked sensation during 1907 by the pitch of perfection to which they had brought the delivery of the off-breaking ball, delivered with a leg break action, which was first bowled by B. J. T. Bosan-quet. Right through their tour only one man could we find who can be said to have really played their bowling, and that was C. B. Fry at the Oval in the third test. Hardstaff played a good indings against it at Nottingham, but for some reason or other Braund had very little of it. to play during the first test match at Lord's. Though Vogler was unnistakably the best bowler on the side, G. A. Faulkner was the best purely "googlie" bowler of the four, as he could break both ways at a good place. The effect of that tour on cricket generally was to awake us to the fact that we have not yet by any means plumbed the depths of the bowling ard or sapped its treasures. There are now many votaries of the art of "googlie" bowling all over England. Schoolboys practise the thing numost daily, and to my way of thinking the test match side of the future is incomplete willbout a "googlie" bowler. It is futile to argue that this particulag delivery will be mastered in time by batisme. May I ask, Has the good off-break or leg-break delivered in copy book way ever been truly mastered? There are people to be found still who argue that "googlie" bowling is only freak bowling. They are wrong, I think. The "googlie" is and is in fact, his most deadly weapon, no defence being invincible against it.

LACROSSE.

The concluding matches of the second round were played on Saturday, in splen-did weather, and before a number of in-terested spectators. The West End-Pon-sonby encounter at Victoria Park result-ed in a win for the first-named by 8 goals to 4, while on the Damain Grafton had a victory over Auckland by 9 goals to 7.

HOCKEY.

The championship cup matches were continued on Saturday. Principal inter-est centred in the United-Grafton match, est centred in the United-Grafton match, which resulted in a draw, each side notch-ing a goal. Auckland defcated St. George's by 5 goals to nil, and Collego Rifles beat Ponsonby by 5 goals to 3. At Devonport University beat North Shore by 4 goals to nil. As the result of United's draw with Grafton, Auckland, last year's champions, are leading for the championship by one point from United. In the second graige University keat

last years considered in the constraint of the championabile by one point from United. In the second grade University beat St. George's by 4 goals to 2, Training College defeated Ellerslie by 3 goals to 2, College Riffes defeated Ponsonby by 8 goals to 1, and Epsom won from Auck-

8 goals to 1, and Epsom won from Auck-land by 5 goals to 1. The matches under the aus-pices of the Auckland Ladies' Hockry Association were continued on Saturday, and resulted as fol-lows:-Rangatira A. beat Ladies' Col-lege by 5 goals to nil, Moana beat Ra-whill B. by 3 goals to nil, and Rangatira B. and Rawhil A. played a draw, each side netting three goals.

GOLF.

LADIES' GOLF UNION.

This paper has been appointed the official organ of the Ladies' Golf Union, New Zealand branch.

New Zealand branch, Secretaries of luffies' galf clubs are invited to forward official notices, handicaps and alteruitons, results of competi-tions, and other malters of interest, to reach the publishing office not later than the Saturday prior to date of publica-

Wanganui.

Wanganui. On Weducsday, June 90, the monthly match was played by the Wanganui Ladies' Golf Club, over the 18-hole course. Only four players are at present quali-fied to play for the silver LGU. medal, though doubtless many others will, by the end of the year, hold handicaps under the 25 limit. The course at Belmont is a new one, and the ground is still very rough to some eight or nine holes. The dis-tance round the links is 5040 yards, and the record is held by Misz Cave in **69**. The par of the green is 85. Following are the scores sont in for the silver medal in June, played conjointly with the monthly match:--Miss O. Stanford, 100- 25-81. Miss Cave, **90-15-84**. Miss Cowper, 104-17-87; Miss Stanford, 110 -23-87. Alterations of bandicaps: Miss Cave

-23-87. Alterations of bandicaps: Miss Cave reduced to 14, Miss O. Stanford reduced to 22. Mrs. Good, with scores of 115 and 107, obtained a 25 handicap.

L.G.U. Year Book.

Copies of the Year Book for 1909 will have reached secretaries \mathcal{A} silliated clubs by the last English taril. Thu Year Book is edited and compiled by Miss Issette Pearson, hon, see, of the Ladies' Golf Union in England, and con-tains a complete list of the clubs vifiliag-ed to the English branch of the Ifaior, and also of the New Zealand clubs, giving all details as to the membership of the clubs, the handicaps of members, pars of greens, etc. The book also contains the revised rules of golf, as adopted by the Royal and Ancient, in September last. The rules of the Union and the regula-tions for handicapping are fully set forth and explained. Secretaries and handi-cap managers of clubs should go exterfully through these rules, and they would thus Copies of the Year Book fer 1909 will cap managers of clubs should go carefully through these rules, and they would thus clear up points upon which they may have been in doubt. A point that is worth the consideration of the New Zea-land clubs is that of the appointment of handleap managers, apart from the secre-taryships of the various clubs. It seems to be the custom to pass the office of sec-retary on, and no exception can be taken to this eustom, as it is right that the retary on, and no exception can be taken to this custom, as it is right that the work entailed should not always fall on the "willing shoulders"; but in the re-gulating of handleaps it would seem to be desirable that when one person has thoroughly grasped the system and got her club into smooth working order, she should not then hand the managing of handleaps over to another member who has to begin de novo. In England a

should not then name the managing of handicap over to another member who has to begin de novo. In England a handicap manager acts for, perhaps, six or eight clubs, and continues to act in that ennacity year after year. Another point which occurs when look-ing through the Year Book is the desira-bility of getting the New Zealand clubs to hold their annual meetings in the spring, so that the information supplied for the Year-Book would be for the cur-rent season. The year in England starts from January 1; clubs hold their meet-ings and appoint officers, etc. prior to that date, and the information for the Year Book is commiled in January. If the New Zealand clubs could hold their meetings in the spring, the information in the Year Book could be made more up to-date than is the ase at present so far as the officers of the club are concerned.

Auckland Clubs' Competition.

The members of the Auckland Golt Club held a four-ball best-bull match at Cornwall Park links on Siturday. Tho winners of the match were Greig and S. winners of the match were Greig and S. Upton, who put up a very good score of 74 not, and they were each presented with a handsome travelling clock by Mr. E. D. O'Rorke. Other score-ards returned were: Allen and Chatfield, 77; Heather and Burns, 77; Alexander and Tonks, 78; Lusk and McCormick, 79; Macfarlane and Kirker, 80; Gordon and Bruce, 80; P. Upton and Dargaville, 80; Jackson and Ball, 81; Kelly and Hanna, 83; Purchase and Marriner, 84; Lewis and E. Horton, 84; Dadley and Bloom-field, 84; Stringer and H. Kirker, 85; Ruddeck and Mair, 88; Webster and Fe-, ter, 90; Cave and McIntosh, 91.