## 1924. NEW ZEALAND.

## NATIVE LAND AMENDMENT AND NATIVE LAND CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT ACT, 1920.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON PETITION No. 339 OF 1919, OF HARATA TUWHAKARARO, RELATIVE TO THE SUCCESSORS TO REHARA APAKURA (DECEASED) AND HANA PAOKA (DECEASED) IN THE ORIMUPIKO BLOCK.

Presented to Parliament in pursuance of Section 32 of the Native Land Amendment and Native Land Claims Adjustment Act, 1920.

Native Department, Wellington, 28th August, 1923.

Petition No. 339 of 1919—Re Rehara Apakura and Hana Paoka (deceased)—Orimupiko Block. Exclosed herewith is the report of the Native Land Court hereon. You will observe that the petitioner has died, and that the matter has not been prosecuted.

In any case it does not appear that the petitioner had any grievance so far as the successions complained of are concerned. They were granted to Matiu Wharematangi, whom she claims should have been appointed. Her complaint is rather with the manner in which succession was granted to the interests of the latter person when he died.

Pursuant to section 32 of the Native Land Amendment and Native Land Claims Adjustment Act, 1920, I recommend that no further action be taken.

The Hon. the Native Minister, Wellington.

Taranaki Minute-book 34/337.

R. N. Jones, Chief Judge.

The Native Land Amendment and Native Land Claims Adjustment Act, 1920.

In the Native Land Court of New Zealand, Aotea District.—In the matter of the petition, No. 339 of 1919, of Harata Tuwhakararo, praying for an inquiry into the succession to Rehara Apakura (deceased) and Hana Paoka (deceased) in the Orimupiko Block, referred to the Court under the provisions of section 32 of the above-mentioned Act.

At a sitting of the Court held at Hawera on the 4th day of July, 1923, the Court made inquiry into the above matter, and reports as follows:—

- 1. Upon the matter being called on, Mr. S. J. Jackson, who had previously represented the petitioner, reported to the Court that the petitioner was now dead, and that he could not proceed with the matter.
- 2. The matter has been advertised in the *Gazette* and *Kahiti* on at least five occasions without any one coming forward to give evidence.
  - 3. The Court accordingly recommends that no further action be taken in the matter.

As witness the hand of the Judge and the seal of the Court.

F. O. V. Acheson, Judge.

Approximate Cost of Paper.—Preparation, not given; printing (475 copies), £1 7s. 6d.