The next table indicates the position of the various companies in the matter of overhead expenses in their relation to sales. It will be noticed that expenses have been treated both inclusive and exclusive of income-tax, and it may be explained that for the purpose of judging the overhead efficiency of a company it is necessary to eliminate income-tax—a charge which is relative to the profit made, and which has no very direct relation to the management of the enterprise. For that reason, particular consideration should be given to the percentages shown in the right-hand column :—

				(1)	(2)		(3)	
Company.		Year.		Sales.	Overhead Charge, inclusive of Income-tax.	Percentage of (2) to (1).	Overhead Charge, exclusive of Income-tax.	Percentage of $(3)$ to $(1)$ .
				£	£		£	
Α		1914		9,934	2,543	$25 \cdot 6$	2,543	$25 \cdot 6$
		1918		17,420	3,060	17.6	2,617	15.0
		1919		28,212	4,440	15.8	4,343	15.4
В	• •	1914	••	26,265	2,844	10.8	2,814	10.7
		1918		51,610	7,292	14.1	4,046	7.8
		1919		62,291	8,178	13.1	4,296	6.9
$\mathbf{C}$	••	1914		38,005	4,935	12.9	4,864	12.8
		1918		86,298	12,833	14.9	7,435	8.6
		1919		80,987	14,830	18.3	9,618	11.8
D		1914		239,725	49,470	20.6	47,352	19.7
		1918		449,578	76,697	17.1	58,409	13.0
		1919		449,509	64,784	14.4	47,100	10.5
E		1914		943,863	113,539	12.0	110,052	11.7
		1918	••	1,748,010	261,478	14.9	215, 125	12.3
		1919		1,720,613	277,376	16.1	192,664	11.2
$\mathbf{F}$		1914		144,413	18,857	13.1	18,522	12.8
		1918		289,912	36,954	12.7	24,698	8.5
		1919		298,386	35,350	11.8	22,419	7.5
G		1914		76,080	15,278	20.1	14,711	19.3
		1918		159,285	40,081	25.1	31,479	19.7
		1919	••	152,064	37,940	24.9	30,480	2 <b>0</b> ·0
$\mathbf{H}$		1914		47,933	6,364	13.3	5,972	12.5
		1918		122,091	19,533	16.0	11,662	9.5
		1919	•••	132,314	22,332	16.8	13,922	10.5
Ι		1914		43,272	6,023	13.9	5,890	13.6
		1918		103,074	14,665	$14 \cdot 2$	10,115	9.8
		1919	••	111,423	16,172	14.5	11,728	10.5
Total	••	1914	•••	1,569,490	219,853	14.0	212,720	13.5
,,		1918		3,027,278	472,593	15.6	365,586	12.0
,,	••	1919	•••	3,028,747	481,402	15.9	336,570	11.1

It will be seen by reference to the first table on page 8 that the net profits of Company E in that table in relation to the capital of the company were in each year considerably in excess of the rate of net profit taken by the other companies. Companies F, G, H, and I were also somewhat above the average during the years 1918 and 1919. These latter companies, however, were among the least successful in pre-war years, and, being small firms, hardly merit particular consideration here.

Company E, however, may be said to have a case to answer. This firm is shown as Company H in the two tables immediately preceding, and appears as Company F in the third table on page 7. A summary of the figures for this firm are given below :—-

	0		1914. £	1918. e	1919. £	
					-	
•••	•••	• · · •			90,616	
	• • •		47,933	122,091	132,314	
	• • •	• • •	$11,\!538$	38,746	46,325	
tax	•••		5,972	11,662	13,922	
les)		• • •	5,174	-18,912	22,994	
	s capital		6,242	21,476	27,436	
	-	• • •	94.50	147.24	146.00	
	• • •	• • •	102.50	157.09	135.54	
			24.1	31.7	35.0	
			21.4	24.5	23.1	
Percentage of overhead expenses to sales						
		• · · ·	13.5	12.0	$11 \cdot 1$	
		• · · ·	10.80	15.49	17.37	
	· · · ·	• • •	5.2	8.7	7.9	
• • •	•••		12.3	25.9	30.3	
	•••	• • • •	6.0	14.4	11;9	
	 tax les) th gross  s s 	tax les) th gross capital  s s 	tax les) th gross capital  s s	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

An examination of the above figures will show that while the overhead expenses of this firm are, in relation to sales, slightly below the average, the percentage of sales to gross capital does not vary greatly from the average results of all the companies combined. The rate of gross profit on sales, however, is materially above the average, and this has undoubtedly been the main cause of the high rates of net profit on sales and of net profit on capital.