COAL-MINES ACT AMENDMENT BILL (First Report).

The Goldfields and Mines Committee, to whom was referred the abovementioned Bill, have the honour to report that, having duly considered the same, they recommend that the Bill be allowed to proceed, subject to the amendments shown on a copy of the Bill attached hereto.

26th August, 1902.

MINING ACT AMENDMENT BILL (MR. GUINNESS).

THE Goldfields and Mines Committee, to whom was referred the abovementioned Bill, have the honour to report that they have duly considered the same. The Committee have made certain amendments, which are shown in a copy of the Bill attached hereto.

26th August, 1902.

MINING ACT AMENDMENT BILL.

The Goldfields and Mines Committee, to whom was referred the abovementioned Bill, have the honour to report that, having duly considered the same, they recommend that the Bill be allowed to proceed, subject to the amendments shown on a copy of the Bill attached hereto.

27th August, 1902.

COAL-MINES ACT AMENDMENT BILL (Second Report).

The Goldfields and Mines Committee, to whom was referred back the Coal-mines Act Amendment Bill, have the honour to report that, having further considered the said Bill, they recommend that the Bill be allowed to proceed, subject to the amendments shown in a copy of the Bill attached hereto. (Vide also Appendix I.-4A.)

28th August, 1902.

COAL-MINES ACT AMENDMENT BILL (Third Report).

THE Goldfields and Mines Committee have the honour to report to your honourable House that

they have agreed to the following resolution, namely:-

Resolved, "That this Committee recommend that the Government take up the Coal-mines Act Amendment Bill as a Government measure, and use their utmost endeavours to have the said Bill passed into law this session."

29th August, 1902.

No. 67.—Petition of Simon Fraser (First Report).

PETITIONER claims to have been the discoverer of goldfields at Taieri and other places, and seeks a reward.

The Goldfields and Mines Committee have the honour to report, upon the petition of Simon Fraser, that they have no recommendation to make.

4th September, 1902.

No. 242.—Petition of James Hosie.

PETITIONER claims to have been the discoverer of gold at Waihi and Karangahake, and seeks to obtain a reward.

The Goldfields and Mines Committee have the honour to report, on the petition of James Hosie, that they have no recommendation to make.

4th September, 1902.

No. 377.—Petition of James Kelly, a Miner (First Report).

PETITIONER claims to have been the first to discover and prove the existence of payable quartz

reefs in Reefton, and seeks to obtain a reward.

The Goldfields and Mines Committee, having considered the facts set forth in the petition of James Kelly, have the honour to recommend that a sum be annually placed on the estimates sufficient to allow to him an annuity equal to what he would be entitled to receive as an old-age

4th September, 1902.

No. 377.—Petition of James Kelly, a Miner (Second Report).

PETITIONER claims that he was the first to discover and prove the existence of payable quartz gold-

bearing reefs at Reefton, and he seeks to obtain a reward.

The Goldfields and Mines Committee, having again carefully considered the circumstances as set forth in the petition of James Kelly, have the honour to report that they have no recommendation to make.

18th September, 1902.

No. 301.—Petition of EDWARD CONWAY and Others.

PETITIONERS represent that they are the owners of freehold property on the banks of the Inangahua River; that they did not receive any notice of the intention to proclaim the Inangahua River a sludge-channel under the Mining Act; and that their lands will be damaged and injuriously affected by such proclamation. They seek compensation.

The Goldfields and Mines Committee, having given careful consideration to the petition of Edward Conway and others, have the honour to report that they have no recommendation to

make.19th September, 1902.