ERRATA.

On account of the absence of the Commissioners in Australia the questions in the earlier part of the evidence were not revised by them, but in all cases the evidence given by each witness was sent to him for correction. The following errors occur:—

Page 8, question 142: For "to the Bluff," read "from the Bluff."
Page 11, question 199: For "shipping countries," read "shipping companies."
Page 11, question 201: For "factory," read "Victoria."
Page 11, question 203: For "steamers," read "consumers."
Page 43, question 324: For "same way," read "same weight."
Page 44, question 344: For "particularly dangerous," read "particularly advantageous."
Page 44, question 345: For "section 84," read "section 74."
Page 61, question 716, line 12: For "to compete successively," read "to compete successfully."
Page 108, question 1866: For "that would be the attempt," read "that would be the tendency."
Page 169, question 370: For "State Commission," read "inter-State Commission."
Page 178, question 494: For "from the atom of the organism," read "from the atom to the organism."
Page 180, question 546: For "able to produce," read "unable to produce."
Page 182, question 580: For "only contention," read "natural intention."
Page 200, question 1053: For "imported," read "exported."
Page 210, question 127: For "a deferential tariff," read "a preferential tariff."
Page 294, question 722: After the words "a coterminous," add the word "boundary."
Page 557, question 721: For "an 84-per-cent. tariff," read "an £8,500,000 tariff."
Page 601, question 721: For "Federal Parliament," read "Federal Commonwealth."
For "inter-frae-trade," wherever occurring, read "inter-State free-trade."