1916 - 20

1921 - 25

1926 - 30

1931-35

1936-40

1941 - 45

• •

. .

. .

. .

. .

. .

• •

. .

. .

• •

. .

• •

. .

. .

. .

• •

• •

. .

• •

. .

• •

. .

The absolute figures on which the above results are calculated are given in the next table :---

	Period.					Excess of Births over Deaths.				
	1 chou.				Males.	Females.	Both Sexes.			
1871-75		••	••		19,410	21,129	40,539			
1876 - 80	••				30,144	32,807	62,951			
1881 - 85	••	••			32,362	35,046	67,408			
1886 - 90	••				30,781	33,544	64,325			
1891 - 95		••	• •		27,255	30,630	57,885			
1896 - 1900			• •		28,097	31,437	59,534			
1901 - 05	• •				32,515	36,223	68,738			
1906-10					38,681	43,067	81,748			
1911 - 15				••	42,323	46,682	89,005			

35,248

41,876

36,886

30,715

32,604

44,172

41,359

44,868

40,456

33,237

37, 192

47,027

76,607

86,744

77,342

63,952

69,796

91,199

Table No. 20.—Table showing Excess of Births over Deaths in the Quinquenniums from 1871–75 to 1941–45

It needs to be emphasized that while the absolute number of New Zealand's population has been growing, the above figures show that there is a remarkable drop in the rate of growth. The lowest point was reached in 1936, since when, and until 1939, there was a steady improvement, when the rate was higher than at any time since 1927. (This discussion omits all reference to immigration.) A rate of 7.89 per 1,000, as in 1936, meant that, although the population was increasing, the increase was not sufficient in the long run to maintain even a stationary population.

The future size of a population—ignoring for the time being the question of immigration—is related not so much to the absolute size of the present population as to the number of women at the reproductive age at any given time. At the present time fairly reliable estimates can be arrived at of the number of children of both sexes who will be born within the next few years. The number in the next generation, however, is related to the number of girl children who will die prior to the reproducing age. The number of such children who will die prior to the reproducing age can be calculated reasonably accurately. If it is assumed that the fertility rate—that is, roughly, the size of families—remains the same and that there is no change in the mortality rates, the size of the next generation can be calculated with some accuracy. Such an index is called the net reproductive rate (the gross reproductive rate ignores mortality figures). The following table gives some idea of the trends in recent years :—

Table No. 21.-Table showing Net Reproductive Rate in New Zealand from 1936 to 1944

1936		0.970	1941		$ 1 \cdot 274$
1937		0.990	1942		$ 1 \cdot 208$
-1938	••	1.028	1943		1.077
1939	••	1.073	1944 .	••	$ 1 \cdot 207$
1940	••	$ 1 \cdot 195$			

If the rate is exactly 1, then, other factors remaining constant, the same number of female children will be born in the next generation as were born in the year under review. If lower than 1, then less will be born, and hence the potential increase will be less than sufficient naturally to maintain a stationary population. If greater than 1, the population will be increasing. From 1931 to 1937 the rate was less than 1, indicating a potentially declining population. This fall was due to the economic depression. Since 1937 the rate has always been above 1, and hence prospects are brighter. Any decline, however, in the present low birth-rate in the future will give cause for serious concern. In general the figures give cause for some concern. If the experience of the