

22. What was the name of the solicitor who conducted the case for your father?—Mr. Cash, of Marton.

23. How much was paid to him for his services?—I heard that he received £700; but I heard afterwards that his bill was taxed, and that the sum was reduced.

24. By whom was the money paid to Cash?—By my father and Kemp.

25. How much did they each pay?—My father and the Ngatiapa paid most of the money.

26. After the sitting of the Court, do you remember a disturbance which took place between the Ngatiraukawa and Nuaupoko?—Yes; it was concerning Horowhenua.

27. Did you hear that there were any houses burned down?—Yes; they belonged to the descendants of Te Whatanui.

28. At whose instance were they burned down?—My father was the cause of it.

29. There were shots fired, and had it not been for the intervention of the Government there would have been war between the tribes?—Yes; if the Government had not interfered, there would have been trouble.

30. Do you remember the occasion of your father being summoned by the police for having incited these men to burn the houses?—Yes.

31. Do you remember who was the solicitor who defended him?—No; I forget.

32. Do you remember where the case was heard?—I believe it was in Wellington.

33. Do you remember who accompanied your father to Wellington?—You were one; there were several others, but I forget who they were.

34. Did you hear that your father was imprisoned?—Going to Wellington was equivalent to putting him in prison.

35. But you did not hear that he was actually in prison for a short time?—I do not know myself that he was.

36. Did Kemp take any part in the defence of the Muaupoko at that time against the Ngatiraukawa, and, if so, what part did he take?—Yes; he was with the Muaupoko.

37. Was he here at the time the shots were fired?—I don't think Kemp was here at the time the shots were fired.

38. In all the disputes between Ngatiraukawa and Muaupoko who took the most prominent part in defending Muaupoko against Ngatiraukawa?—My father.

39. At the time of the subdivision of the 52,000-acre block were you present at the several meetings held for the purpose of arriving at a subdivision?—I was not there when they met about dividing that block, in 1886.

40. Why were you not present?—My elder brother, Wirihana Hunia, was there, and that was the reason I did not go.

41. Were you at any subsequent meetings?—I went to a Native meeting after that at Horowhenua.

42. Was any proposal made at that meeting with regard to the subdivision of the 52,000 acres?—The cause of our meeting then, in 1891, was that we were taking into consideration what we should do with No. 11; but we arrived at no definite conclusion.

43. Can you state any of the proposals that were made, and that were offered by one side and rejected by the other, or *vice versa*?—It was to consider how many thousand acres should be given to Kemp, and how many to me; but there was nothing concluded about it.

44. Who generally took the most prominent part in the management of affairs since your brother's death—you or your elder brother?—My elder brother.

45. You therefore think your brother would be able to speak with more authority than yourself?—Yes.

46. Have you received from Kemp any portion of the money which he received from the Government for the sale of the township of Levin?—No.

47. Have you received any portion of the money for the 800 acres (No. 10) sold for Sievwright?—No.

48. Have you received any portion of the rents received by Kemp from Hector McDonald, who was the lessee of the run (No. 11)?—No.

49. Do you know at what date this lease was made by Kemp to Hector McDonald?—I do not know.

50. Do you know whether the lease was in existence before the subdivision took place?—The lease was before the subdivision.

51. Was it before the Court sat in 1873?—It was before that.

52. Do you know whether your father received any portion of the rent from McDonald down to the time of his death?—I heard there was a lease, but the lease was made by Te Whatanui.

53. That was before the sitting of the Court in 1873?—Yes.

53A. Since the Court of 1873, who received the whole of the rent?—The Muaupoko.

54. Did your father receive any portion of it?—I never heard of it.

55. Who received the first rent, in your opinion, Muaupoko or Kemp?—Kemp.

56. Was the rent paid to Muaupoko through Kemp, or directly from Hector McDonald to Muaupoko?—He gave the moneys to Kemp.

57. You have received no part of it since your father's death, nor do you remember your father receiving any from Kemp?—No; I did not know that my father received any during his lifetime, and since his death I have not received any money.

58. After the subdivision took place, there was a portion of the land awarded to a number of persons—the Muaupoko and that section of the Muaupoko belonging to Ngatiapa: how much did you receive in your own right of the sections that were divided in No. 3?—105 acres.

59. Is that all you received out of the Horowhenua Block?—Yes.

59A. Not another acre?—No.