

## SCHEDULE A—continued.

Appeal No.	Page of Departmental List.	Consecutive No.	Class or Grade.	Name of Officer.	Remarks.
48	108	7	Sixth class, 1st grade, Telegraph officers	Brebner, W.	
49	108	8	Ditto .. ..	Day, G. F.	
50	108	9	" .. ..	Harrington, E. J.	
51	108	10	" .. ..	Morrison, J.	
52	108	13	" .. ..	Melton, J.	.. Recommend this officer be placed with Postal officers.
54	108	16	" .. ..	Hawley, F. C.	
55	108	17	" .. ..	Wyatt, H. P. de K.	Suggest that salary be placed at £180, as it seems that £170 was entered in error.
57	108	19	" .. ..	Smallbone, G. F. B.	
58	108	20	" .. ..	Kirker, T.	.. Claims also that messenger's service be counted. See report.
59	108	21	" .. ..	Booth, R.	
60	108	23	" .. ..	Seymour, C. A.	
61	108	24	" .. ..	Ashton, W. M.	
62	108	27	" .. ..	Clayton, H. R.	
63	108	28	" .. ..	Steward, W. R.	
64	108	29	" .. ..	Rapley, T. W.	
65	108	32	" .. ..	Heny, W.	
66	108	35	" .. ..	Harrington, W. J.	
67	108	37	" .. ..	Sargeant, J.	
68	108	39	" .. ..	Tait, J.	
69	108	40	" .. ..	Treeweek, C.	
70	108	43	" .. ..	Dommett, W. H.	.. Recommend that error in length of service be corrected.
71	108	45	" .. ..	Storey, G. B.	
74	108	54	" .. ..	Kivell, J. A.	
76	108	58	" .. ..	Salmon, J. W.	.. Claims also that messenger's service be counted. See report.
78	108	61	" .. ..	Baird, R. M.	
80	108	64	" .. ..	Aitken, J.	.. Recommend that error in length of service be corrected.
82	108	68	" .. ..	Greatbatch, H. G.	.. Ditto.
83	108	70	" .. ..	Wylie, W.	
84	108	71	" .. ..	O'Connell, M.	
85	108	72	" .. ..	King, A. V.	
93	109	16	Sixth class, 2nd grade, Postal officers	Harrison, B. R.	
102	109	51	Ditto .. ..	Johnson, F. T. R.	.. Recommend that error in length of service be corrected.
108	110	12	Sixth class, 2nd grade, Telegraph officers	Percival, A. S.	
122	110	48	Ditto .. ..	Stott, L.	.. This officer's complaint as to length of service seems to be a matter for the Law Officers of the Crown to advise upon.
151	111	137	" .. ..	Turner, H. G.	.. Ditto.
153	111	140	" .. ..	Wyatt, C. A. de K.	
154	112	142	" .. ..	Martin, R.	.. This appeal is not against class, and therefore not a proper ground of appeal.
155	112	143	" .. ..	Scott, W...	.. This appeal is not against class, and therefore not a proper ground of appeal. Suggest that any error in date of appointment be corrected.
159	112	157	" .. ..	Herd, J. A.	.. This appeal is not against class, and therefore not a proper ground of appeal.
164	112	183	" .. ..	Caldwell, L.	
168	113	39	Cadets .. ..	Ormsby, G.	
171	113	46	" .. ..	Cooper, G. R.	.. This officer objects to the position of his name on the list as to sequence. No ground of appeal. See report.
178	113	55	" .. ..	Laurenson, J.	.. Claims also that messenger's service be counted. See report.
176	113	72	" .. ..	Crawshaw, S. C.	.. Recommend that error in length of service be corrected.
179	113	83	" .. ..	France, J.	
180	113	85	" .. ..	Fogo, R. H.	.. Recommend that error in length of service be corrected.
188	114	4	C. P. M., 2nd grade ..	Wilkin, J. W.	.. Appeal as to amount of salary only.
186	114	7	" .. ..	McBeth, J. F.	
187	114	2	P. M., 1st grade ..	Bagge, J.	.. There is in this appeal a question of length of service, with which we do not deal. The Law Officer of the Crown appears to have given an opinion on the matter.
188	114	6	" .. ..	Sheath, J. H.	
189	115	6	P. M., 2nd grade ..	Gudgeon, J. B.	
190	115	8	" .. ..	Bundle, W.	
191	115	13	" .. ..	Capper, H. W.	
192	115	16	" .. ..	Whelan, C.	.. £195 appears as the salary for this officer for the year 1891-92, whereas the minimum for this grade is £220.
193	115	21	" .. ..	Chaney, W. J.	
194	115	22	" .. ..	Algie, J. A.	
195	115	1	P.M., 3rd grade ..	McHutcheson, W...	.. The office appears to be placed in its proper grade, and we understand the officer has been removed to a higher grade.