No. 7. J. C. Lyons, Ballarat.—Manufacture of manure from ligneous potash deposits.

No. 8. F. McD. Miller, Fitzroy.—Establishing a cartridge manufactory, and for quality of cartridges prepared thereat,

No. 8. F. McD. Miller, Fitzroy.—Establishing a cartridge manufactory, and for quality of cartridges prepared thereat, requesting premium for manufacture of pistol cartridges.
No. 9. L. F. Keogh, Hamilton (Wannon Woollen Mill Company).—Manufacture of woollen cloth.
No. 10. Urie, Young, and Co., Melbourne.—Request reconsideration of claim for reward made in 1864, for establishing a starch and maizena manufactory, &c.
No. 11. E. Brandt, Sandhurst.—Manufacture of shingles and for shingle machinery.
No. 12. Pansacker and Evans, Melbourne.—Manufacture of trunks, portmanteaus, &c.
No. 13. F. Fordham, Melbourne.—Preserving fish, manufacture of pickles, and canister fruits.
No. 14. W. Sloggatt, Malvern Hill—Renewal of claim made in 1864, for manufacture of thumb-blue. Has commenced to make dark thumb-blue.

- make dark thumb-blue.

No. 15. G. E. Dodson, East Collingwood.—Establishing a manufactory of male and female axles.

No. 15. G. E. Dodson, East Collingwood.—Establishing a manufactory of male and female axies.
No. 16. E. Davies, Brunswick.—Colonial kid, for upper part of boots.
No. 17. J. Clarke, Camberwell.—Paperhangings.
No. 18. Stone and Zevenboom, Melbourne.—Brushware.
No. 19. James A. Kidd, Eltham.—Preparation of flax, &c.
No. 20. Honnens, Vockler, and Co., Maldon.—Manufacture of glue.
No. 21. A. Douglass and Co., Geelong.—Manufacture of certain descriptions of leather.
No. 22. Michael Donaghy, Geelong.—Manufacturer of Manila rope cables, also of flat ropes.
No. 23. John Martin, "Australasian Patent Blast Compound Company," South Yarra.—Patent safety compound, a non-explosive substitute for blasting powder. No. 23. John Martin, "Australasian Patent Blast Compound Company," South Yarra.—Patent safety compound, a non-explosive substitute for blasting powder.

No. 24. R. Allison, Diggers' Rest Station.—Firebricks, and fire-resisting material (patented).

No. 25. Wilkie, Kilner, and Co., Melbourne.—Manufacture of pianos.

No. 26. E. Hughes, East Collingwood.—New invention in treatment of antimony, &c.

No. 27. F. Chambers, Carleton.—Manufacture of bellows.

No. 28. Campbell and Vaughan, Beveridge.—Establishing a tobacco manufactory, and working their own leaf.

No. 29. Secretary, Victoria Woollen Cloth Manufacturing Company, Geelong.—Manufacture of tweeds, rugs, and blankets.

No. 30. N. Chevalier, Melbourne.—Chromo-lithographs.

No. 31. G. P. Steiling, Richmond.—Crockery.

No. 32. G. Tolhurst, Prahran.—Composition of the oratorio "Ruth," and other works.

No. 34. J. Craig, Moolap.—Manufacture of pianos; first to introduce musk wood into manufacture of pianofortes.

No. 35. W. S. Round, Ballarat.—Improvement in manufacture of wrought-iron chains.

No. 36. Nil.

No. 36. Nil. No. 37. W. Hutchison, Melbourne.—Patent colonial ovens.

No. 37. W. Hutchson, Melbourne.—Fatent colonial ovens.

No. 38. Secretary, Chesterfield Pottery Company, Footscray.—Pottery.

No. 39. W. Stanway, Melbourne.—Invention of a new method of constructing easy chairs.

No. 40. E. Nightingale, Melbourne.—Manufacture of fancy boxes.

No. 41. E. D. and E. Mealy, Fitzroy.—Straw-plaiting, manufacture of straw hats.

No. 42. Emma Beddison, Fitzroy.—Coach and railway carriage trimmings.

No. 43. James Nelson, Hastings Salt Works, Western Port Bay.—First person who made salt by evaporation from sea

No. 44. Rowden Brothers, Melbourne.—Galvanizing iron.
No. 45. W. G. Haughton, Richmond.—Perambulators, additions and improvements introduced.
No. 46. Isaac Phillips, Fitzroy.—Manufacture of boys' suits from second-hand clothing.
No. 47. Lecroissette and Bates, Melbourne.—Crystallizing fruits, &c.

No. 48. Robert Amos, Melbourne.—Manufacture of iron; about to erect a two-ton steam-hammer. No. 49. G. W. Prangst, Melbourne.—Manufacture of stearine candles.

No. 50. G. M. Stone, Collingwood.—Discovery of a material in 1862, near Geelong, adapted for the manufacture of cement; manufactured some.

No. 51. F. Skinner, Melbourne.--Crinolines.

No. 52. J. S. Rhodes, Brunswick.—Crinolines.
No. 52. J. S. Rhodes, Brunswick.—Crockery.
No. 53. A. Martelli, Lillydale.—Cultivation of hemp.
No. 54. Ditto.—Cultivation of hops.
No. 55. Ditto.—Cultivation of Russian wheat.
No. 56. Ditto.—Cultivation of mulberry.
No. 57. Tredinnick and Corin, Ballarat.—Introduction of a machine called a "buddle," for saving fine gold from stamped tailings, &c.
No. 58. W. Evett, Emerald Hill.—Manufacture of gold-leaf.

No. 58. W. Evett, Emerald Hill.—Manufacture of gold-leaf.
No. 59. Smith and Son, Melbourne.—Superior description of biscuit.
No. 60. George Fincham, Richmond.—Organ-building, and manufacture of materials connected therewith.
No. 61. James Mill, Melbourne.—Rope; has manufactured £18,000 worth during last twelve months.
No. 62. Thomas Kenny, Melbourne.—Paper.
No. 63. J. Mealy, Fitzroy.—Straw-splitters.
No. 64. Clark and Co., Melbourne.—Manufacture of chemicals.
No. 65. Pedro Nisser, Melbourne.—Invention of an improved powder for ordinance and blasting purposes.
No. 66. James Farral, Melbourne.—Leather.
No. 67. D. McAndrew, Drysdale.—Competitor for best ton of flax, &c.
No. 68. A. M. Hutchison, Melbourne.—Substitute for embroidery or braiding.

With regard to many of the claims, it may be remarked that the applicants failed to supply sufficient data to enable the Board either to form an estimate of the value or utility of the industries, on account of which the claims were preferred, or to decide whether they could be dealt with under the Regulations. In these cases the applicants were written to for the required information, viz.:—Date of commencement of manufacture; price at which produced; number of persons employed, &c., &c. In reference to some of the manufactures, it was resolved (instead of trusting wholly to correspondence) to appoint members of the Board, and other gentlemen, to visit the establishments for inspection and report, the Board being of opinion that such a course would place them in a better position to form a correct estimate of the value and usefulness to the Colony of the undertakings referred to. The Board in several instances, it may be here remarked, considered it their duty to obtain, for their better guidance, the opinions of competent judges relative to some of the industries on account of which claims were made. The Board having obtained all the required information to guide them in forming their decisions, the applications were examined, and the attached schedule shows the result of their deliberations.