like that of all other votes, should be furnished to the Government to be laid before the Legislature; also that the police and gaols should be subject to inspection and report by an officer to be approved by the Colonial Government, with a view of enabling it to satisfy this House that its votes have been usefully applied. I may state at once that the amount which the Government is enabled to propose for the current year is seven shillings per head in each case. There would remain to the Provinces the Land Fund collected within their borders, and the strictly local revenue raised under provincial enactments, the amount of which is shown, by a return already on the table of this House, to be not inconsiderable. We believe, Sir, that if Parliament is pleased to assent to this important change, not only will the work of the Government of the Colony be considerably simplified and facilitated, but in most instances the Provinces themselves, freed from their present debts and relieved from all doubt as to their financial resources, will be placed in a better position to devote themselves to the discharge of those important functions of colonization and settlement which in many parts of New Zealand are still left to them to fulfil.

Of course, Sir, it will be suggested that such a charge as I have indicated, however desirable on general grounds, may affect very unequally the various Provinces of the Colony, and may in some cases, unless this inequality is adjusted, cause considerable practical injustice. In order to assist the Committee in arriving at a correct judgment on this point, I lay before it a table showing how much for the present year each Province would gain or lose by the proposed change. This table is framed on the basis of the population and estimated revenue for the present year, any changes in which would of course seriously affect its results. It was prepared before the result of the conversion of some of the Provincial Loans was fully known, and as that operation is still incomplete it has not been thought expedient to embody its partial results in this It proceeds on the assumption that the current financial year will add £25,000 to the revenue of Auckland, and take away £10,000 each from Westland and Nelson, from which a considerable migration is now going on.

TABLE SHOWING FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 1867-8-

I.—The Debit or Credit Balance of each Province after receiving Moiety of the Consolidated Revenues, providing for all Services controlled by the General Government but Provincially charged, and for the Interest and Sinking Fund of the Provincial Loans.

II .- Loss or Gain to each Province by withdrawal of Moiety of Consolidated Revenue, by being relieved of cost of Harbour Services, of Provincial Charges, and of Interest and Sinking Fund of Loans, and receiving Capitation Grant for Police and Gaols.

Province. Consolidated Revenue, 1868-9. Auckland \$5084 0 0 29476 0 0 45400 0 0 74376 0 0 74376 0 0 Debit. Credit. Debit. Credit. Departments, less and Dues. Departments and Dues. Departme										
Auckland \$\frac{\pi}{8} \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{\pi}{0} \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{\pi}{0} \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{\pi}{0} \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{\pi}{0} \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\pi}{6} \frac{\pi}{0} \f	Province.	Moiety of Consoli- dated	Estimated Sinking Fund		1		bution to Provinces of 7s.	Harbour Depart- ments, less Fees and	to	Gain to Provinces.
Auckland 85084 0 0 29476 0 0 45400 0 0 74878 0 0 1525 13 0 391 7 3 1967 Taranaki 4996 0 0 3546 0 0 1500 0 0 5046 0 0 50 0 0 1525 13 0 391 7 3 1967 Wellington 55465 0 0 20088 0 0 15712 0 0 35800 0 0 19665 0 0 7632 10 0 881 14 5 11100 15 7 3093 1 Hawke's Bay 13960 0 0 6900 0 0 7720 0 0 14620 0 0 660 0 0 1849 1 0 584 13 7 3093 1 Nelson 47936 0 0 14293 0 0 5171 2 5 19464 2 5 28471 17 7 8334 18 0 *316 4 3 20453 3 10 1529 17 0 266 15 2 2132 Marlborough 4710 0 0 3723 0 0 1322 14 0 5045 14 0 335 14 0 1529 17 0 266 15 2 2132 Canterbury 76629 0 0 30506 0 0 30187 0 0 60393 0 0 15936 0 0 13448 15 0 1719 18 7 767 6 5 2008 1 1529 17 0 266 15 2 2132 Westland 59912 0 0 19124 0 0 30187 0 0 49311 0 0 16001 0 0 5396 6 0 2991 6 2 2213 7 10		1868-9.	£ s. d. £ s. d.	£ s. d.	£ s. d.			£ s. d.		£ s. d. 9195 18 11
Wellington 55465 0 0 20088 0 0 15712 0 0 35800 0 0 0 19665 0 0 7632 10 0 881 14 5 11100 15 7 3093 1		85084 0	29476 0 0 45400 0 0		1				J	1967 0 3
Hawke's Bay 13960 0 0 6900 0 0 7720 0 0 14620 0 0 680 0 0 1849 1 0 584 13 7 3093 Nelson 47036 0 0 14293 0 0 5171 2 5 19464 2 5 28471 17 7 8334 18 0 *316 4 3 20453 3 10 Marlborough 4710 0 0 3723 0 0 1322 14 0 5045 14 0 335 14 0 1529 17 0 266 15 2 2132 Canterbury 76629 0 0 30506 0 0 30187 0 0 60893 0 0 15936 0 0 13448 15 0 1719 18 7 767 6 5 Westland 59912 0 0 19124 0 0 30187 0 0 49311 0 0 16001 0 0 5396 6 0 2991 6 2 2213 7 10 20095 18 5 16999 3 0 2491 16 510894 19 0 16001 0 0 16001	-			35800 0 0		19665 0 0	7682 10 (881 14 5	11100 15 7	
Nelson 47936 0 0 14293 0 0 5171 2 5 19464 2 5 28471 17 7 8334 18 9 320 18 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		13960 0	0 6900 0 0 7720 0	14620 0 0	650 0 0		1			3093 14 7
Marlborough 4710 0 0 3723 0 0 1322 14 0 5045 14 0 50	Telson	47936 0			1			-		2132 6 2
Westland 59912 0 0 19124 0 0 30187 0 0 49311 0 0 10601 0 0 5396 6 0 2991 6 2 2213 7 10	Iarlborough		J 5.25	1				ļ		
Westand 2002 3 0 2491 16 5 10894 19 0	-	1		1		,	5396 6	2991 6	2 2213 7 10	
Otago (131918 0 0) 43667 0 0) 57805 1 7 101352 1 7		0000		1	7	30385 18 5	16999 3	2491 16	10894 19	
Southland 18711 0 0 7635 0 0 24674 9 0 32309 9 0 13598 9 0 2780 1 0 1595 16 0 17974	Southland	18711 0	0 7635 0 0 24674 9							17974 6 0
Totals 499321 0 0178958 0 0219739 7 0398697 7 0 14644 3 0 115267 16 0 76458 11 0 13414 19 6 45429 12 8 34363	Totals	499321 0	0 178958 0 0 219739 7	0 398697 7	0 14644 3 0	115267 16	76458 11	316 4 3	6 40 42 9 12 8 3	234002 2 11
13098 15 3							1	13098 15	3	

* Harbour Receipts in excess of Expenditure.

It will be seen that the loss to some of the Provinces would in the present year be considerable, while the gains of some would be of importance. In those cases in which the loss is in reality the loss of the revenue collected in one Province, but in reality paid by the inhabitants of another, in the manner which I have already described, we do not consider that any real injustice is involved in the change we now propose, and no adjustment is therefore necessary. This remark applies chiefly to the case of Otago and partially to Wellington. There are, however, other cases, as Nelson and Southland, which stand on a different footing.

Southland has borrowed excessively, and the Interest and Sinking Fund on her debts amounts to a large sum, while her contribution to the Consolidated Fund, on which the aggregate of the Provincial Debts will, if our proposals are adopted, be hereafter charged, is a comparatively small amount. It is not reasonable that she should be altogether relieved at the expense of the Colony from the consequences of her borrowing. According to the Estimates of the present year she would gain £17,974 per annum by the new arrangement. We propose that she should, during the period over which the repayment of her loans would extend, contribute £12,000 per annum from her Land Fund to the Consolidated Fund, and that in the event of her Land Revenue in any one year exceeding £52,000, the surplus should

be applied to the redemption of this annual subsidy. In the case of Nelson we find an adjustment required in an opposite direction. She has been economical in her expenditure, and prudent in her borrowing. The annual Interest and Sinking Fund on her own Provincial Loans is very much less than the share which, according to her present population and revenue, she would contribute to the aggregate Interest and Sinking Funds of all the Provincial Loans if they were made a general charge on the Consolidated Fund. Nelson is therefore clearly entitled to some redress. In determining the amount of her claim, several contingencies must be taken into consideration. The effect which the proposed change will have upon the interests of Nelson, as shown in the table, is of course calculated upon her present revenue and population; it is a result for the present year only, and must vary from year to year in the same proportion as that which the revenue and population of Nelson bears to the rest of the Colony also varies. One reason why the debt of Nelson is at present small in proportion to her population is the fact that that population has, by means of the North Westland gold fields, been more than doubled within the last two years; and the removal of any large part of her gold-mining population to more attractive regions would immediately place Nelson more nearly on a par with the other Provinces than she is at present. A similar effect would follow in the not impossible event of the district